DAVID Z. CHESNOFF, ESQ 1 RICHARD A. SCHONFELD, ESQ. CHESNOFF & SCHONFELD 2 520 S. 4th Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 3 (702) 384-5563 4 dzchesnoff@cslawoffice.net rschonfeld@cslawoffice.net 5 Attorneys for Defendant Alexander Smirnov 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. 2:24-CR-00091-ODW 9 Plaintiff, STIPULATION TO MODIFY BRIEFING 10 SCHEDULE v. 11 ALEXANDER SMIRNOV, 12 Defendant. 13 14 Comes Now, Defendant Alexander Smirnov, by and through his counsel 15 of record David Z. Chesnoff, Esq., and Richard A. Schonfeld, Esq., and 16 Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of 17 record, the Office of Special Counsel David C. Weiss, and hereby 18 stipulate as follows: 19 On April 12, 2024, the Plaintiff and Defendant entered into 20 a Stipulation that among other things set forth a briefing schedule 21 related to certain CIPA filings. (Dkt 64). 22 In the Stipulation the Defendant's deadline to provide CIPA 2.

Section 5 notice was set for July 29, 2024, or no later than two weeks

after the Court's ruling on the Government CIPA Section 4 filing

23

24

25

26

27

28

(whichever is later).

- 3. The Court entered its ruling on the Government's CIPA Section 4 filing on July 22, 2024, thereby making the Defendant's CIPA Section 5 filing due no later than August 5, 2024.
- 4. The Defendant's counsel have engaged in the process of obtaining security clearance, so that the classified information discovery can be viewed, and are now eligible for interim clearances.
- 5. Before Defendant's counsel can view the classified discovery, a protective order issued pursuant to Section 3 of CIPA must be in place. The parties have not been able to reach an agreement as to all material terms of a protective order, and the Defendant plans to file an Opposition to the Government's Motion for Protective Order (Dkt 96).
- 6. In light of the foregoing, the Defendant's counsel has not yet been given the opportunity to review the classified discovery, and therefore is unable to provide CIPA Section 5 notice.
- 7. For the foregoing reasons, the Plaintiff and the Defendant stipulate to modify the briefing schedule in Dkt 64 as follows:
- i. Defendant's CIPA Section 5 notice: Two weeks after
 the government provides Defendant's counsel access to the classified
 information discovery;
- ii. Government's Objections to Defendant's CIPA Section 5 & government's CIPA Section 6(a) filing: Three weeks after Defendant's CIPA Section 5 notice.
- iii. Defendant's Reply in CIPA Section 5 and Response to government's CIPA Section 6(a): Two weeks after government's CIPA Section 6 filing.

| 1 | | |
|----|--|---|
| 2 | The remaining briefing schedule in Dkt 64 is not impacted by | |
| 3 | this Stipulation. | |
| 4 | IT IS SO STIPULATED. | |
| 5 | Dated: August 5, 2024 | Respectfully submitted, |
| 6 | CHESNOFF & SCHONFELD | DAVID C. WEISS |
| 7 | | Special Counsel LEO J. WISE |
| 8 | /s/ Richard A. Schonfeld DAVID Z. CHESNOFF, ESQ. | Principal Senior Assistant Special Counsel |
| 9 | RICHARD A. SCHONFELD 520 S. 4 th Street | DEREK E. HINES |
| 10 | Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Defendant | Senior Assistant Special Counsel |
| 11 | Alexander Smirnov | SEAN F. MULRYNE Assistant Special Counsel |
| 12 | | - |
| 13 | | /s/ Christopher M. Rigali CHRISTOPHER M. RIGALI |
| 14 | | Assistant Special Counsel |
| 15 | | Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |